

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/074,814 02/13/2002 Mitchell J. Hubert 004027.00019 8084 EXAMINER 26799 7590 01/11/2006 IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT WEBB, GREGORY E TYCO FIRE & SECURITY SERVICES ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ONE TOWN CENTER ROAD BOCA RATON, FL 33486 1751

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			./
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/074,814	HUBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory E. Webb	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re t. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this commu  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 October 2005.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are pending in the application	ation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	ge
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		)/Mail Date	<b>)</b> \
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152 ·	.)
. Patent and Trademark Office		)	
OL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Offic	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	: 010606
	70/8/1		

Application/Control Number: 10/074,814 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. The applicant has included the language "slow-draining" in an attempt to distinguish the instant claims from the prior art. The applicant has properly found support for the term "slow-draining." However the specification is lacking a specific definition for this term. It is not clear to what degree the instant compositions will drain as the instant specification does not provide the requisite degree of detail to provide an objective comparison of the instant invention with those prior art inventions.
- 3. As the applicant has offered no evidence beyond arguments and as the prior art compositions do indeed form a foaming compositions such material limitations have thus been met.
- 4. The applicant further argues that Stern fails to teach non-neutral materials. The applicant has recited a single paragraph in support for this argument, however read as a whole Stern clearly teaches the non-neutral hazardous material. For example in the same column as recited by applicant Stern teaches the treatment of acidic petroleum products and the treatment of sulfur dioxide both of which are considered non-neutral and thus meet applicant's claim limitations.
- 5. Similarly in example 9 of the Stern reference a test is performed to control an ammonium spill. It is well known in the chemical arts that ammonium hydroxide is alkaline and would constitute a non-neutral material.
- 6. Thus it is not clear to the examiner how Stern fails to teach the instant claim limitations.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,814

Art Unit: 1751

## Conclusion

Page 3

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory E. Webb Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751 Page 4

gew